

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/700,751	Applicant(s) FISHMAN, PNINA	
	Examiner Patrick T. Lewis	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Restricted

(1) Patrick T. Lewis.

(3) _____.

(2) Roger Browdy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10, 12, 15-18, 41, 42 and 44-80

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Browdy that a supplemental restriction requirement was being set forth. As pointed out by Mr. Browdy in the Response dated January 12, 2004, claims 10, 12 and 15-18 relate to induction of G-CSF production, while the remaining claims relate to inhibition of abnormal cell growth and/or treatment of tumors..